

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR **FILING DATE** ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/475,548 12/30/99 STEWARD M-7891-US **EXAMINER** QM12/0404 KEN J KOESTNER PAPER NUMBER SKJERVEN MORRILL MACPHERSON FRANKLIN & FRIEL LLP 25 METRO DRIVE SUITE 700 3763 DATÉ MAILED: SAN JOSE CA 95110-1349 04/04/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

1,

	· · · · ·			
-		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/475,54	В	STEWARD ET AL.
		Examiner		Art Unit
		LoAn H Th	anh	3763
 Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat r Reply	ion appears on the c	over sheet with the co	orrespondence address
THE N - Extension after S - If the p - If NO - Failure - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will sply received by the Office later than three months after dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no eve ication. lays, a reply within the statulory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the appli	ent, however, may a reply be tir tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	mely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>30 December 1</u>	999 .	
2a)□)⊠ This action is		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	on of Claims			
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from con	sideration.	
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) 🗀	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠	Claims 1-20 are subject to restriction	and/or election requ	uirement.	
Application	on Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
Ī	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. δ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
/	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	
/-	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have beer	ı received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority do			ion No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internat ee the attached detailed Office action in the internat action in the internation action action action in the internation action ac	the priority docume ional Bureau (PCT I	nts have been receive Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim			
14)[_]	Acknowledgement is made of a claim	ioi domestic phonty	under 33 0.0.0. 3 1	10(0).
Attachment	(s)		_	
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	·O-948\		ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)
	ce of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa		20) Other:	
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		Office Action Summar	у	Part of Paper No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 01-01)

Art Unit: 3763

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

A/ Figs. 5a, 6

B/ Fig. 5b

C/ Fig. 7

D/ Fig. 8.

7

2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Application/Control Number: 09/475,548

Art Unit: 3763

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. A telephone call was made to Ken Koestner on 3/29/01 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LoAn H. Thanh whose telephone number is (703) 305-0038.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, Richard Seidel, can be reached on (703) 308-5115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-4520.

Application/Control Number: 09/475,548

Art Unit: 3763

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist, whose telephone number is (703)308-0858.

LoAn H. Thanh Patent Examiner Art Unit: 3763

Date: March 29, 200

RICHARD K. SEIDEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700